

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,285	01/10/2005	Soon-Tae Ahn	SAMH100001000	8520
22891 DELIO & PET	7590 12/27/2006 JO & PETERSON		EXAMINER	
121 WHITNEY AVENUE			IP, SIKYIN	
NEW HAVEN	I, CT 06510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•	• •	1742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/521,285	AHN, SOON-TAE		
Examiner .	Art Unit		
Sikyin Ip	1742		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

- The malento bare of the communication appears on the contract that the	P
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appe	al. To avoid abandonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit	t, or other evidence, which
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in complete the state of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	liance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be	e filed within one of the following
time periods:	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	final rainction, whichough is later. In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRS TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a)	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally:	set in the final Office action; or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoi	
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CF	R 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will r	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE be	elow);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducin	ig or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or	Lalaima
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected	i ciaims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliance	ant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely non-allowable claim(s). 	y filed amendment canceling the
7. $igtiz$ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) $igsqcup$ will not be entered, or b) $igtiz$ will be ϵ	entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice	of Appeal will not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or of	other evidence is necessary and
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	-
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date	
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and	
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37	
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is	s below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance
because:	
See Continuation Sheet.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	1 Page 1
13. Other:	<i>C</i>
	SIKYIN IP
	PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Instant claims 1-4 are rejected by reference as set forth in prior final rejection. The status of claim 4 in amended filed on July 27, 2006 was wrong which has created the impression of no claim added. Applicants' argument is noted. But instant claims are product claims; the processing steps carry insignificant patentable weight